DRAFT VERSION SEPTEMBER 1, 2014
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 2/16/10

arXiv:1408.7028v1 [astro-ph.HE] 29 Aug 2014

THE SPIN OF THE BLACK HOLE 4U 1543-47

WARREN R. MORNINGSTAR[1], JON M. MILLER[1]

Draft version September 1, 2014

ABSTRACT

We present a new analysis of Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer observations of the 2002 outburst of the transient X-ray nova 4U 1543-47. We focus on observations in the High/Soft state, and attempt to measure the "spin" of the black hole by simultaneously fitting the thermal disk continuum and by modeling the broadened iron k-shell emission lines and additional blurred reflection features. Previous works have found that use of these methods individually returns contradictory values for the dimensionless spin parameter $a_* = cJ/GM^2$. We find that when used in conjunction with each other, a moderate spin is obtained ($a_* = 0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$) that is actually consistent with both other values within errors. We discuss limitations of our analysis, systematic uncertainties, and implications of this measurement, and compare our result to those previously claimed for 4U 1543-47.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our ability to measure the spin of a black hole (BH) has increased substantially over the last decade. Apart from exploring a fundamental prediction concerning orbits around spinning compact objects, spin measurements may hold the key to understanding how relativistic jets are launched. As such, spin is a very important quantity to measure. It is also a very difficult quantity to measure, because in order to do so one must observe the effects that the BH has on nearby material in an accretion disk, and infer information indirectly about the hole itself.

Currently, two reliable methods are used to measure the spins of accreting black holes using the X-ray spectrum. The first is the Continuum Fitting method (Zhang, Cui, & Chen 1997; Shafee et al. 2006; McClintock et al. 2006; etc.). In this method, one fits spectra with models that estimate an inner radius of the disk, which is assumed to exist at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO). The radius of the ISCO is determined by the spin and mass, and thus the spin can be determined, if the mass is already known. The distance to the source and the inclination of the inner disk must also be known when performing this method in order to infer the inner radius of the disk from the observed flux. The mass, distance, and binary inclination can be reliably measured via independant methods (some examples of such methods applied to various black hole systems can be found in Miller-Jones et al. 2009; Gelino et al. 2001; Orosz et al. 1998). However in cases where the spin vector of the black hole is misaligned with the binary's orbital angular momentum, the inclination of the inner disk may be misaligned with the orbital inclination since it aligns instead with the spin of the black hole (Bardeen & Petterson 1975).

The other method for measuring black hole spin using the X-ray spectrum is by modeling spectral features produced via reflection from material in the inner disk (Tanaka et al. 1995; Miller et al. 2002; Miller et al. 2004; for a comprehensive review, see Reynolds 2013). In particular, one examines asymmetric blurring of the iron K shell emission lines caused by the strong gravity and by Doppler shifts from orbits within that potential. Additionally, other features are produced by reflection that one must account for properly in order to declare their measurement credible. Use of this method has led to spin measurements for both supermassive black holes and BHBs because it does not necessitate a strong disk component to be observed in the X-rays. This method also does not require prior constraints on the mass or distance, since the shape of the line is determined by the metric (Reynolds 2013). Additionally, the observed shape of the line is affected by the inclination of the inner disk with respect to the observer in such a way that the inner disk inclination can be robustly constrained using this method (Reynolds 2013).

For BHBs, both methods have found evidence for both extreme and moderate spins. Generally, when applied to the same sources, they provide results that are in agreement (Miller et al. 2011). However there remain two cases for which such an agreement is not found: GRO J1655-40 and 4U 1543-47 (Reynolds 2013). Resolving the discrepancy for GRO J1655-40 is difficult because the spectrum shows evidence of strong absorption by winds which complicates modeling of the continuum spectrum, and possible strong misalignment between the binary (Greene et al. 2001), the jet (Maccarone 2002), and possibly the inner disk as well (Reis et al. 2009). However, 4U 1543-47 shows very little evidence for the same complications. Furthermore, the discrepancy is $\Delta a_* = 0.5$ for 4U 1543-47, but only $\Delta a_* = 0.28$ for GRO J1655-40, making resolving the disagreement in 4U 1543-475 the more pressing concern. We note that both past work and recent studies have demonstrated the potential for X-ray timing to measure black hole spin, if observations can eventually determine the mechanism for quasi-period oscillations (Strohmayer 2001; Wagoner 2012; Motta et al. 2014a,b; Bambi et al. 2014; Dexter and Blaes 2014).

In this work, we shall examine spectra obtained during the 2002 outburst of 4U 1543-47 in an attempt to measure its spin. We use models that combine disk reflection and continuum fitting. Our data reduction and data selection procedures are described in section 2. Our analysis methods and results are presented in section 3. We discuss these results in section 4, and list our conclusions in section 5.

2. DATA REDUCTION AND SELECTION

For our analysis, we focused on the 49 RXTE pointed observations reported in Park et al. (2004). The data we consider are the *RXTE* PCA standard products from the HEASARC archive. These products contain the source spectra, background spectra, and the necessary response files. We did

[1] Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 500 Church Street, Ann Arbot, MI 48109-1042, wmorning@umich.edu, jonmm@umich.edu

not use HEXTE data in our analysis, because the model that we use (*kerrbb2*, see Section 3) is designed for use with RXTE/PCA spectra (The model calls a library and depends on the PCA response to deduce the spectral hardening), and is thus incompatible with HEXTE data. As in Park et al., we added a 0.5% systematic error to all channels using the ftool "grppha". All subsequent analysis was performed using XSPEC version 12.8.1 (Arnaud 1996). Owing to calibration uncertainties, and due to the intrinsic softness of the source, we limited our analysis to the energy range 2.9-25.0 keV (Park et al. 2004).

As stated earlier, when measuring BH spin via spectral fitting, one assumes that the inner radius of an accretion disk coincides with the ISCO. It has been postulated that this assumption holds when an outburst is observed to be in the "Thermal Dominant" or High/Soft state. By definition, emission in this state is predominantly thermal radiation from the disk (Remillard & McClintock 2006), although a weak nonthermal component is usually observed also. In order to limit our analysis to spectra in this state, we excluded any spectra which have a ratio $F_{disk}/(F_{disk}+F_{pl}) < 0.9$, where F_{disk} is the bolometric disk flux and F_{pl} is the 2.9-25.0 keV power-law flux. The flux values listed in Park et al. (2004) were used to make these selections.

Additionally, McClintock et al. (2006) found that spectra used to measure spin via continuum fitting should not imply luminosities that exceed 30% of the Eddington limit, since otherwise the disks become geometrically thick and may have nonzero torques at their inner boundary. We have thus excluded any spectra which have $(L_{disk}+L_{pl)}/L_{Edd} \geq 0.3$, where L_{disk} and L_{pl} were calculated from F_{disk} and F_{pl}. After exclusions, we are left with 15 viable observations for analysis.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Park et al. (2004) used a simple model for the X-ray continuum of 4U 1543-47, consisting of a multicolor disk blackbody and a power-law, and found that two additional components were required: a "smeared absorption edge" (modeled using *smedge*) and a relativistically broadened Fe Kα line (fitted with a Laor model (Laor 1991)). This implies the existance of relativistically blurred reflection features throughout the entirety of their observations. In order to self-consistently model absorption edges, and emission features present in the reflected spectrum, we used *reflionx* (Ross & Fabian 2005). Relativistic blurring was produced by convolving *reflionx* with *relconv* (Dauser et al. 2010). The thermal disk continuum was modeled using the standard combination of *kerrbb2* and *simpl* (McClintock et al. 2006, Steiner et al. 2009), where *kerrbb2* is a version of the *kerrbb* model (Li et al. 2005) that contains look-up tables generated using *bhspec* (Davis & Hubeny 2006), and returns a self-consistent value of the spectral hardening factor ($f_{\rm col}$) given various inputs of the spin and mass accretion rate. Interstellar absorption of the total spectrum was modeled using *tbabs* (Wilms, Allen, & McCray 2000).

Within *kerrbb2* the mass and distance were fixed unless stated otherwise. The effective mass accretion rate ($\dot{M}$) was allowed to vary. We allowed for both self-irradiation of the disk and limb-darkening, and enforced the zero-torque boundary condition for the inner edge of the disk. The photon indices of *simpl* and *reflionx* were linked in order for the model to be self-consistent, and the ionization parameter (ξ), scattering fraction ($f_{\rm sc}$) and reflection normalization (K) were allowed to vary. In *relconv*, the spin was linked to that from *kerrbb2* unless stated otherwise, and both emissivity indices



FIG. 1.— (Top) Results of the grid search for nine fixed pairs of mass and distance using our model. The size of the circles is scaled to reflect the value of the spin. The color of the circles reflects the χ^2 value relative to the black circle for which χ^2 = 711 for 659 degrees of freedom. Blue is a lower χ^2 (better fit) and red is a larger χ^2 (worse fit).

were fixed at ϵ = 3. The other parameters of *relconv* were fixed at their default values (with the exception of the inclination, which we examine in the following paragraphs). Finally, the galactic $N_{\rm H}$ was fixed at 4.0×10^{21} cm^{-2}, as in Park et al. (2004) and Shafee et al. (2006).

Spin constraints found via continuum-fitting also require that the inclination is fixed or constrained, since effects related to the potential compete with inclination-dependent Doppler effects. In most cases of continuum-fitting (e.g. Shafee et al. 2006, McClintock et al. 2006, etc.), the inclination of the inner disk is assumed to be equivalent to the binary inclination. However, in a number of sources that have independant measurements of the inner disk inclination, usually via radio observations of a superluminal jet (e.g. V4641 Sgr, GRO J1655-40), the inner disk inclinations appear to be misaligned with the orbital plane of the binary. Furthermore, Maccarone et al. (2002) find that the timescale in which the black hole angular momentum will allign with the orbital angular momentum in such systems can be a substantial fraction of the lifetime of the binary. Thus, the assumption that the inclination of the inner disk is equal to that of the binary doesn't seem to be justified for all cases.

When a relativistic reflection spectrum is detected, it can be used to constrain the inclination of the inner disk since the inclination affects the observed shape of the line (Reynolds 2013). 4U 1543-47 presents a unique advantage in this respect, since it posesses a broad iron line throughout the entirety of our observations in which emission is dominated by the disk (Park et al. 2004). Thus the inclination of the inner disk can be constrained using the iron line. To check for the viability of this option, we tried 3 modeling experiments:

1. Fitting spectra while fixing the inclination within *kerrbb2* to the binary inclination (20.7°; Orosz 2003), and allowing that from *relconv* to remain free.

2. Fitting spectra while fixing the inclination from *kerrbb2* to 20.7°, allowing that from *relconv* to remain free, and not linking the spin parameters from *relconv* and *ker-*

TABLE 1
SPECTRAL FITTING RESULTS

ObsID	Date	Time	Γ	f_{sc}	ξ	a_*	i	K	$\dot{M}$
				$\times 10^{-2}$	$\times 10^{3}$		degrees	$\times 10^{-7}$	$\times 10^{18}$ gcm^{-2}s^{-1}
70133012100	11 Jul 2002	7:53	$2.31^{+0.17}_{-0.07}$	$1.3^{+0.4}_{-1.2}$	$4.8^{+3.4}_{-2.5}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	15^{+22}_{-4}	$2.7^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$
70133012200	12 Jul 2002	4:28	$2.31^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$	$1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	$4.1^{+2.5}_{-2.0}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	14^{+20}_{-4}	$2.5^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$
70133012300	13 Jul 2002	5:18	$2.26^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	$0.53^{+0.28}_{-0.50}$	$2.5^{+2.4}_{-0.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	15^{+15}_{-7}	$2.3^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$
70133012301	13 Jul 2002	7:21	$2.35^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$	$0.7^{+0.2}_{-0.7}$	$2.2^{+3.5}_{-0.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	20^{+46}_{-12}	$2.3^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$
70133012400	14 Jul 2002	5:38	2.33 ± 0.14	$0.8^{+0.3}_{-0.7}$	$2.4^{+3.1}_{-0.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	18^{+24}_{-10}	$2.2^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$
70133012401	14 Jul 2002	7:05	2.3 ± 0.1	$0.9^{+3}_{-0.6}$	$2.3^{+2.8}_{-0.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	15^{+15}_{-8}	$2.1^{+1.1}_{-0.7}$
70133012500	15 Jul 2002	6:49	2.3 ± 0.1	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.6}$	$2.9^{+2.5}_{-0.9}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	29^{+25}_{-9}	$2.0^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$
70133012501	15 Jul 2002	5:29	$2.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.6}$	$2.3^{+2.8}_{-0.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	16^{+21}_{-8}	$2.0^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$
70133012601	16 Jul 2002	0:14	$2.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	$1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	15^{+20}_{-8}	$1.9^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$
70133012700	17 Jul 2002	4:36	2.4 ± 0.2	$1.1^{+0.2}_{-0.6}$	$2.1^{+3.1}_{-0.5}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	21^{+25}_{-15}	$1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$
70133012701	17 Jul 2002	16:16	$2.18^{+0.30}_{-0.05}$	$0.02^{+1.22}_{-0.02}$	$4.0^{+2.1}_{-2.5}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	8^{+31}_{-2}	$1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$
70133012801	18 Jul 2002	4:26	$2.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$1.7^{+0.5}_{-0.8}$	$2.7^{+3.3}_{-1.2}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	16^{+75}_{-9}	$1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$
70133012800	18 Jul 2002	6:03	2.3 ± 0.1	$1.3^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	$2.6^{+2.8}_{-0.9}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	13^{+18}_{-6}	$1.6^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$
70133012900	19 Jul 2002	4:11	2.4 ± 0.1	$1.2^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$	$10.0^{+0.0}_{-2.7}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	9^{+4}_{-5}	$1.4^{+0.7}_{-0.5}$
70133013000	20 Jul 2002	22:18	2.5 ± 0.1	5 ± 2	$10.0^{+0.0}_{-3.8}$	$0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$	32^{+3}_{-4}	11^{+7}_{-1}	$1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$

NOTE. — Results of fitting to the 15 High/Soft state spectra with the model $tbabs \times (simpl \times kerrbb2 + relconv \times reflionx)$. The best fitting values were found using M = 9.4 $M_\odot$ and d = 7.5 kpc. The uncertainties listed are the maximum and minimum 90% confidence limits found for each parameter using our grid search. The χ^2 value found with our best fitting values was χ^2 = 711.6 for 659 degrees of freedom.



FIG. 2.— The data to model ratio for 2 different cases. (Left) The inclination is fixed at the binary inclination (20.7°). (Right) The inclination is a free parameter. The energy range used in plotting was restricted to 2.9-10.0 keV for display purposes only.

rbb2.

3. Fitting spectra while allowing the inclinations and spins from both components to be free parameters.

None of these three cases can model the data self-consistently; however, they allow us to quantify the influence that subtle changes in the continuum may have on cruicial parameters returned from fitting the reflected spectrum. In particular, the deviations in the returned inclination should be small. In all three cases, the inclination measured using *relconv* is approximately 30° ($33° \pm 1$ for case 1, $30° \pm 2$ for case 2, and $27°\ ^{+3}_{-4}$ for case 3). Thus, the measured inclination doesn't change significantly given different constraints on the thermal component. This in turn implies that the shape of the iron line is indeed driving constraints on the inclination.

All 15 spectra were then fitted simultaneously, with the spin and inclination being determined jointly. Fits were performed for 9 fixed pairs of mass and distance consisting of a 3-by-3 grid stretching between their best fit values (9.4 $M_\odot$ and 7.5 kpc), to their minimum (8.4 $M_\odot$ and 6.5 kpc) and maximum (10.4 $M_\odot$ and 9.4 kpc) values (Orosz et al. 1998, Orosz 2003). This allows us to estimate systematic uncertainties in the spin that are produced by our uncertainties in the mass and distance. The results of this analysis are documented in Table 1, and are also shown in Figure 1. Fits return a moderate value of the spin ($a_* = 0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.33}$).

As a final test on our method, we performed an additional fit with the inclination fixed to the binary inclination (20.7°) for both *kerrbb2* and *relconv*. Fitting was only done for M = 9.4 $M_\odot$ and d = 7.5 *kpc*. The resulting magnitude of the spin is comparable to that found by Shafee et al. (2006), showing that our model produces the same findings when the same conditions are applied. However, we find that fit is significantly worse than when the inclination is determined by



FIG. 3.— 68%, 90% and 99% confidence contours for spin and inclination, determined using the *steppar* tool. It is clear that when mass and distance are allowed to vary, the statistics favor a spin equivalent to that measured by Miller et al. (2009) and an inclination greater than the binary inclination.

spectral fitting ($\Delta\chi^2$ = +300 for +1 degree of freedom), and not formally acceptable (χ^2_ν = 1.6). The residuals between 2.9 and 7.0 keV in the spectra strongly reflect the prescence of systematic effects that are no longer present once inclination is allowed to be free (see Figure 2).

4. DISCUSSION

We have performed a thorough examination of RXTE data of the 2002 outburst of the soft X-ray transient 4U 1543-74. Using a combination of continuum-fitting, and disk reflection modeling, we have measured the spin to be $a_* = 0.43^{+0.22}_{-0.31}$. Prior works measuring the spin of 4U 1543-74 which used both of these methods separately had found conflicting values of a_* (0.75-0.85 by Shafee et al. 2006; 0.3 ± 0.1 by Miller et al. 2009). Our measured spin is lower than that obtained by Shafee et al. (2006), primarily because they assumed that the binary inclination is equal to the inclination of the disk. We instead used the iron line to constrain the inclination of the disk, since there is evidence of potential misalignment of varying degrees in other systems, and since the data clearly favor a higher inclination when self-consistent reflection features are considered. Additionally, our model uses *simpl* rather than a power-law, which avoids a systematic overestimate of the spin due to the divergence of a power-law at low energy (see e.g. Steiner et al. 2009). Last, our model replaces the *edge* and *smedge* functions adopted by Shafee et al. (2006) with newer model components that treat absorption and emission features self-consistently while also properly taking relativistic effects into account.

Our measurement is consistent with that from Miller et al. (2009). Our method differs from this prior work in that we require the normalization of *kerrbb* to be fixed to 1, and we use a different combination of models to explain the reflected continuum. We also examine a different set of observations, focusing specifically on spectra which meet all the criteria necessary for use of the continuum fitting method. Additionally we use *kerrbb2* which provides its own method of determining the value of $f_{\rm col}$ to input to *kerrbb*.

To make a direct comparison, we decided to fit spectra for 16 evenly spaced values of i and 31 evenly spaced values of a_*, for the range $i = 27.9 - 33°$ and $a_* = 0.1 - 0.5$ using the *steppar* feature in XSPEC (this range was refined from our original range, which included the binary inclination $i = 20.7°$ and stepped a_* between 0 and 0.998). In all of these fits, values of M and d were allowed to vary within the range allowed by our grid, and were jointly determined by all 15 spectra. The resulting contours of χ^2 are shown in Figure 3. It is clear that when the mass and distance are allowed to vary, the best-fitting spin is equivalent to that determined by Miller et al. (2009). Thus, our model aggrees with both Shafee et al. (2006) and with Miller et al. (2009) when similar parameter constraints are applied.



FIG. 4.— Comparison of the observed jet power from 4U 1543-47 (blue) during outburst with the Narayan & McClintock (2012) model of the relationship between jet power and black hole spin. The observed power of 4U 1543-47 falls well below that predicted by their model.

4U 1543-47 was also detected during outburst in the radio by Park et al. (2004). Assuming that this radio emission is tied to a jet, we can use the peak radio Luminosity obtained as an approximation of the power launched in the jet, and we can compare this particular source to existing models of jet production in black hole binaries. One such model is that from Narayan & McClintock (2012), who find that the jet power, when scaled to account for the different masses and distances of different sources, is related to the spin of the black hole. Their quantity for jet power P_{jet} is simply the observed beam-corrected radio flux.

$$F_{cor} = \frac{F_{obs}}{\delta^{3-\alpha}} \tag{1}$$

scaled by the mass and distance of the black hole, as well as a correction for frequency (ν) at which the source was observed.

$$P_{jet} = \left(\frac{F_{cor}}{\rm Jy}\right) \times \left(\frac{d}{\rm kpc}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{\nu}{5\ {\rm GHz}}\right) \times \left(\frac{M}{\rm M_\odot}\right)^{-1} \tag{2}$$

The quantities δ and α are the doppler factor and the radio spectral index respectively. The doppler factor is determined by the velocity of the jet ($\beta = v/c$), the Lorentz factor (γ), and the inclination (i).

$$\delta = (\gamma[1 - \beta \cos i])^{-1} \tag{3}$$

The radio spectral index is usually determined empirically, using multiwavelength observations of the source. For 4U 1543-47, we used $\alpha = 0.08$ (King et al. 2013).

The peak flux reported in Park et al. (2004) is 21.9 ± 0.6 mJy at 1.03 GHz. Using the mass and distance to the source and the inclination as measured by our model, we have calculated the approximate jet power for two different values of the Lorentz factor. They are both plotted in Figure 4. The uncertainties are equivalent to half of the measured P_{jet} since α is uncertain, and since the peak radio flux produced may be higher than that observed. Also plotted are the spins and P_{jet} values for six additional black holes, whose spins have been measured by continuum fitting (Steiner et al. 2013 and references therein, Morningstar et al. 2014). It is clear that, although the other six sources are well described by the Narayan & McClintock (2012) model, 4U 1543-47 is not. Narayan & McClintock (2012) suggest that the observed radio flux of 4U 1543-47 may only be a lower limit, since the source was not examined during the entirety of its outburst. Therefore it is still possible that at some point the power in the jet was sufficient to be represented by their model, although the flux would have needed to be considerably larger ($F_{obs} \approx 17.5$ Jy for $\gamma = 2$, or $F_{obs} \approx 90.6$ Jy for $\gamma = 5$).

5. CONCLUSIONS

From this work, we can draw several conclusions.

1. The spin of 4U 1543-47 is $a_* = 0.43^{+22}_{-0.31}$ (90% confidence). The best fitting value is found assuming that the mass and distance are equivalent to their values reported in Orosz (2003), and the uncertainty is found by taking the upper and lower bound of the spin found in our grid search.

2. If we allow the model to fit for mass and distance (with their upper and lower bounds still constrained as in Orosz 2003), we find that $a_* = 0.3 \pm 0.15$, similar to the findings of Miller et al. (2009), and consistent with our first conclusion.

3. The inclination of the inner disk for this particular source is slightly misaligned with the binary inclination (32° as opposed to 20.7°). In fact, the binary inclination is disfavored at a strong level of confidence.

4. If we force the inclination of the inner disk to be equivalent to that of the binary, we measure a spin comparable to that found by Shafee et al. (2006), showing that the difference in our measured spin is primarily a result of our use of a different inclination, brought about by our use of a physically motivated model for reflection.

5. Assuming that the radio emission observed on MJD 52,443 by Park et al. (2004) was from a jet, the measured power in the jet is approximately three orders of magnitude less than the power predicted by the Narayan & McClintock (2012) jet model.

REFERENCES

Arnaud, K. A., 1996, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ed. J. H. Jacoby & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 17
Bambi, C., Malafarina, D., Tsukamoto, N., 2014, PhRvD, 89, 127302
Bardeen, J. M., & Petterson, J. A., 1975, ApJ, 195, L65
Brenneman, L. W., Reynolds, C. S., 2006, ApJ, 652, 1028
Buxton, M. M., & Bailyn C. D., 2004, ApJ, 615, 880
Corbel, S., et al. 2001, ApJ, 554, 43
Dauser, T., Wilms, J., Reynolds, C. S., Brenneman, L. W., 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1534
Davis, S. W., Blaes, O. M., Hubeny, I., Turner, N. J., ApJ, 621, 372
Davis, S. W., Hubeny, I, 206, ApJS, 164, 530
Dexter, J., & Blaes, O., 2014, MNRAS, 438, 3352
Gelino, D. M., Harrison, T. E., & Orosz, J. A., 2001, AJ, 122, 2668
Greene, J., Bailyn, C. D., Orosz, J. A., 2001, ApJ, 554, 1290
Park S. Q., Miller, J. M., McClintock, J. E., Remillard, R. A., Orosz, J. A., Shrader, C. R., Hunstead, R. W., Campbell-Wilson, D., Ishwara-Chandra, C. H., Rao, A. P., Rupen, M. P., 2004, ApJ, 610, 378
King, A. L., Miller, J. M., Gultekin, K., Walton, D. J., Fabian, A. C., Reynolds, C. S., Nandra, K., 2013, ApJ, 771, 84
Laor, A., 1991, ApJ, 376, 90
Li, L., Zimmerman, E. R., Narayan, R., McClintock, J. E., 2005, ApJS, 157, 335
Maccarone, T. J., 2002, MNRAS, 336, 1371
McClintock, J. E., Shafee, R., Narayan, R., Remillard, R. A., Davis, S. W., Li, L., 2006, ApJ, 652, 518
Miller, J. M., Fabian, A. C., Reynolds, C. S.; Nowak, M. A., Homan, J., Freyberg, M. J., Ehle, M., Belloni, T., Wijnands, R., van der Klis, M., Charles, P. A., Lewin, W. H. G., 2004, ApJL, 606, 131
Miller, J. M., Fabian, A. C., Wijnands, R., Reynolds, C. S., Ehle, M., Freyberg, M. J., van der Klis, M., Lewin, W. H. G., Sanchez-Fernandez, C., Castro-Tirado, A., J., 2002, ApJL, 570, 69
Miller, J. M., Miller, M. C., Reynolds, C. S., 2011, ApJL, 731, L5
Miller, J. M., Reynolds, C. S., Fabian, A. C., Miniutti, G., Gallo, L. C., 2009, ApJ, 697, 900
Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Jonker, P. G., Dhawan, V., Brisken, W., Rupen, M. P., Nelemans, G., Gallo, E., 2009, ApJL, 706, L230
Morningstar, W. R., Miller, J. M., Reis, R. C., Ebisawa, K., 2014, ApJ, 784, L18
Motta, S. E., Belloni, T. M., Stella, L. Munoz-Darias, T., Fender, R., 2014a, MNRAS, 437, 2554
Motta, S. E., Munoz-Darias, T., Sanna, A., Fender, R., Belloni, T., Stella, L., 2014b, MNRAS, 439, L65
Narayan, R., & McClintock, J. E., 2012, MNRAS, 419, L69
Orosz, J. A., Jain, R. K., Bailyn, C. D., McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A., 1998, ApJ, 499, 375
Orosz, J. A., 2003, in IAU Symp 212, A Massive Star Oddyssey, from Main Sequence to Supernoava, ed. K. A. van der Hucht & C. Esteban (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 365
Reis, R. C., Fabian, A. C., Ross, R. R., Miller, J. M., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1257
Remillard, R. A., & McClintock, J. E., 2006, ARA&A, 44, 49
Reynolds, C. S., 2013, arXiv: 1302.3260
Ross, R., & Fabian, A. C., 2005, MNRAS, 358, 211
Shafee R., McClintock, J. E., Narayan, R., Davis, S. W., Li, L., Remillard, R. A., 2006, ApJ, 636, L113
Steiner, J. F., McClintock, J. E., Narayan, R., 2013, ApJ, 762, 104
Steiner, J. F., Narayan, R., McClintock, J. E., Ebisawa, K., 2009, PASP, 121, 1279
Strohmayer, T. E., 2001, ApJL, 552, 49
Tanaka, Y., Nandra, K., Fabian, A. C., Inoue, H., Otani, C., Dotani, T., Hayashida, K., Iwasawa, K., Kii, T., Kunieda, H., Makino, F., Matsuoka, M., 1995, Nature, 375, 659
Wagoner, R. V., 2012, ApJL, 752, 18
Wilms, J., Allen, A., & McCray, R., 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
Zhang, S. N., Cui, W., & Chen, W., 1997, ApJ, 482, L155